MUSYTARI
ISSN : 3025-9495
Neraca Akuntansi Manajemen, Ekonomi
Vol 17 No 2 Tahun 2025
Prefix DOI : 10.8734/mnmae.v1i2.359

THE ROLE OF STATE FINANCIAL AUDITS IN ENHANCING TRANSPARENCY AND ACCOUNTABILITY IN PUBLIC FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT

Dito Aditia Darma Nasution[1], Jefri Ronaldo[2], M. Rizki Aldian[3]
Universitas Pembangunan Panca Budi

aldo.tobey007@gmail.com, ditoaditia@dosen.pancabudi.ac.id, rizkialdian24@gmail.com

Abstract

State financial audits play a strategic role in promoting transparency and accountability in public financial management. This study examines the contribution of audits conducted by state audit institutions in ensuring that public finances are managed in accordance with sound governance principles. Using a descriptive-qualitative approach and literature review as the primary method, the research evaluates how audit findings serve as effective oversight tools for policymakers and the public. The results indicate that independent and professional audits not only reveal potential irregularities but also offer corrective recommendations that enhance efficiency and increase public trust in government institutions. Furthermore, publicly accessible audit reports have been proven to strengthen civic engagement in oversight processes. Thus, state financial audits are an essential pillar in building a transparent, accountable, and citizen-oriented public financial system.

Keywords: *State Financial Audit, Transparency, Accountability*

Abstrak

Audit keuangan negara memiliki peran strategis dalam mendorong transparansi dan akuntabilitas dalam pengelolaan keuangan publik. Studi ini membahas kontribusi audit yang dilakukan oleh lembaga pemeriksa negara dalam memastikan bahwa pengelolaan keuangan publik berjalan sesuai dengan prinsip tata kelola yang baik. Dengan pendekatan deskriptif-kualitatif dan studi literatur sebagai metode utama, penelitian ini mengevaluasi bagaimana hasil audit dapat menjadi instrumen pengawasan yang efektif bagi pengambil kebijakan dan masyarakat. Temuan menunjukkan bahwa audit yang independen dan profesional tidak hanya mengungkap potensi penyimpangan, tetapi juga memberikan rekomendasi perbaikan yang berdampak pada peningkatan efisiensi serta kepercayaan publik terhadap institusi pemerintahan. Selain itu, laporan audit yang dipublikasikan secara terbuka terbukti memperkuat partisipasi masyarakat dalam proses pengawasan. Oleh karena itu, keberadaan audit keuangan negara merupakan pilar penting dalam menciptakan sistem keuangan publik yang transparan, akuntabel, dan berorientasi pada kepentingan publik.

Article history
Received: Mei 2025
Reviewed: Mei 2025
Published: Mei 2025

Plagirism checker no 80
Doi : prefix doi : 10.8734/musytari.v1i2.365
Copyright : author
Publish by : musytari

Neraca Manajemen, Ekonomi
Vol 15 No 9 Tahun 2025
Prefix DOI : 10.8734/mnmae.v1i2.359

Kata Kunci: Audit Keuangan Negara, transparansi, akuntabilitas.

1. Introduction

The integrity of public financial management (PFM) is a fundamental determinant of state functionality, democratic legitimacy, and socio-economic development. As governments are entrusted with managing public resources on behalf of their citizens, it is imperative that the processes of budgeting, spending, and reporting are conducted in a transparent and accountable manner. In this context, state financial audits emerge as a critical mechanism in ensuring that public funds are utilized efficiently, effectively, and in accordance with applicable legal and regulatory frameworks. State audit institutions (SAIs), as independent bodies mandated to examine the government's financial operations, play a central role in promoting good governance, preventing corruption, and fostering public trust.

Transparency and accountability are the cornerstones of democratic governance. Transparency refers to the openness of government processes and the accessibility of information regarding public sector activities, especially in financial matters (Stefanescua et al., 2016). Accountability, in turn, denotes the obligation of public officials to answer for their actions and decisions and to be subject to oversight and potential sanction. Both principles are mutually reinforcing and essential in promoting fiscal discipline, ensuring the proper allocation of resources, and enabling public participation. Within the architecture of PFM, audits conducted by SAIs serve not only as technical evaluations of compliance and performance but also as instruments that reinforce institutional checks and balances.

The increasing complexity of public finance systems, coupled with rising public demands for integrity and responsiveness, underscores the growing importance of robust financial audit mechanisms. In many countries, especially those undergoing democratic consolidation or public sector reform, state financial audits provide an independent assessment of government financial operations and are instrumental in identifying inefficiencies, irregularities, and misuse of public funds. These findings, when disseminated to parliaments, the executive, and the public, form a basis for corrective actions, policy reforms, and in some cases, legal proceedings. In this way, state audits contribute to both vertical and horizontal accountability, supporting the effective functioning of democratic institutions.

The presence of an independent audit institution is associated with improved fiscal performance. Empirical studies have shown that countries with stronger SAIs tend to exhibit lower levels of corruption, higher budget credibility, and more efficient public expenditure management. This correlation is not incidental but reflects the capacity of SAIs to impose a form of external discipline on the executive branch. By evaluating whether public spending aligns with legislative authorizations, financial regulations, and strategic priorities, state financial audits promote compliance and discourage malfeasance. Importantly, these audits also serve a preventive function; the knowledge that financial operations will be scrutinized increases the likelihood that public officials will act responsibly.

The theoretical underpinning of the audit function within PFM can be linked to the agency theory and public choice theory. According to agency theory, public officials (agents) are expected to act in the interest of citizens (principals), but the asymmetry of information and misaligned incentives may lead to principal-agent problems such as inefficiency, mismanagement, or corruption. In this context, financial audits operate as a monitoring mechanism, reducing information asymmetry and enabling principals to assess the performance of agents (Safdar et al., 2019). Public choice theory similarly acknowledges the possibility of self-interested behavior in the public sector and emphasizes the role of institutions in mitigating such tendencies. SAIs, by acting as independent arbiters of financial integrity, contribute to institutional checks that realign public sector behavior with societal interests.

Despite their acknowledged importance, the effectiveness of state financial audits varies significantly across countries and jurisdictions. Institutional independence, technical capacity, legal mandates, and the responsiveness of audit recipients are all determining factors in the extent to which audits influence governance outcomes. In some settings, audits are limited to compliance checking without addressing systemic issues or evaluating the efficiency and effectiveness of spending. In others, audit reports are produced but not acted upon due to political interference, weak follow-up mechanisms, or lack of public awareness. These challenges highlight the need for a broader framework that links audit processes to enforcement, civic engagement, and institutional reform.

According to Balliu (2025) The evolution of audit practices has extended the role of SAIs beyond traditional financial and compliance audits to include performance audits and environmental audits, among others. Performance audits, in particular, assess whether government programs and activities are achieving their intended results with due regard for economy, efficiency, and effectiveness. This shift reflects a more holistic understanding of accountability that goes beyond mere legality and encompasses outcomes and public value. As such, the role of state financial audits is not static but continues to evolve in response to changes in governance paradigms, fiscal risks, and public expectations.

The digitalization of public finance systems and the emergence of open government initiatives have also transformed the auditing landscape. Technologies such as data analytics, real-time monitoring tools, and online public financial management platforms have enabled more timely and granular audits. At the same time, the publication of audit findings in accessible formats and the facilitation of citizen engagement have strengthened the transparency function of audits. In many democratic societies, civil society organizations and investigative journalists actively use audit reports to advocate for accountability and expose malpractice (Norris, 2017). These developments suggest that state financial audits can act not only as internal control tools but also as enablers of participatory governance.

Given the centrality of financial integrity in sustaining public trust and development outcomes, it is critical to examine how state financial audits concretely contribute to transparency and accountability in PFM. This paper seeks to analyze the role of state financial audits through a synthesis of theoretical perspectives, institutional frameworks, and empirical evidence. Specifically, it explores the mechanisms through which audits influence public sector behavior, the enabling conditions for effective audits, and the challenges that undermine their impact. By doing so, the study contributes to the broader discourse on public sector governance and offers insights for policymakers, auditors, and civil society actors seeking to strengthen audit systems.

2. Metodologi

This study employs a Systematic Literature Review (SLR) approach to explore the role of state financial audits in enhancing transparency and accountability within public financial management systems. The SLR method is chosen to enable a comprehensive, structured, and replicable synthesis of academic and empirical literature related to the audit functions carried out by state audit institutions (SAIs) or equivalent bodies at the national level. By systematically collecting and analyzing prior studies, this review aims to map out key concepts, mechanisms, and factors that determine the effectiveness of financial audits in strengthening public sector governance.

The literature review begins with an exploration of foundational definitions, conceptual frameworks, and normative standards surrounding public financial transparency and accountability. Attention is then directed to the institutional roles, legal mandates, and operational procedures of state financial audits, including both financial and performance audits. A particular focus is given to the mechanisms by which these audits promote accountability, such as audit reporting, follow-up processes, enforcement of audit recommendations, and the relationship between audit institutions and executive, legislative, and civil society actors.

The review further investigates contextual determinants influencing the impact of state financial audits, such as auditor independence, institutional capacity, legal infrastructure, political environment, and the maturity of public financial management systems. Through this lens, the study evaluates the audit process not only as a compliance tool but also as a driver of reform and citizen engagement. Relevant literature was sourced from reputable academic databases including Scopus, JSTOR, ProQuest, and Google Scholar. Search terms included combinations of keywords such as *"state financial audit," "public financial management," "transparency," "accountability," "supreme audit institution,"* and *"public sector audit."* Boolean operators (AND, OR) were applied to refine search results and ensure relevance to the research objectives.

The inclusion criteria used in the selection of literature are as follows:

1) Topical Relevance: Articles must focus directly on the role of state financial audits in promoting transparency and/or accountability in public financial management, including discussions of institutional frameworks, audit practices, and governance outcomes.
2) Empirical Evidence: Selected articles must present empirical data, case studies, comparative analyses, or field-based research to support their findings or theoretical claims.
3) Geographical Context: Preference is given to literature addressing the Indonesian context or other countries with similar characteristics, such as developing economies or states undergoing public sector reform.

4) Language: Articles must be published in English or Indonesian, to ensure linguistic accessibility and contextual applicability.

3. Result and Discussion

The role of state financial audits in promoting transparency and accountability has gained increasing scholarly attention over the past decade, especially as global governance reforms have highlighted the importance of fiscal responsibility and oversight in public administration (Theletsane, 2014). Findings from the systematic literature review reveal that while state financial audits are theoretically positioned as powerful instruments to monitor the use of public resources, their real-world effectiveness is contingent upon a complex interplay of institutional independence, audit mechanisms, contextual limitations, and stakeholder engagement.

A consistent theme across the literature is the significance of institutional design in shaping audit outcomes. In principle, Supreme Audit Institutions (SAIs) or equivalent national audit bodies are expected to function with a high degree of autonomy from the executive branch. This independence often enshrined in constitutional or legal provisions is crucial to ensure objectivity in audit planning, execution, and reporting. However, empirical studies suggest that formal guarantees of independence are not always translated into practice. In many developing and transitional economies, audit institutions are subject to political pressure, budgetary constraints, and bureaucratic interference that compromise their impartiality. For example, while the Indonesian Audit Board (BPK) has made substantial progress in expanding its oversight functions, it still encounters limitations in enforcing its recommendations due to weak follow-up mechanisms and occasional politicization of its findings (Syukri, 2022).

Beyond institutional independence, the mechanisms through which audits influence transparency and accountability are pivotal. The publication and dissemination of audit reports represent one of the most direct ways in which audits contribute to public oversight. When audit findings are made accessible, timely, and presented in a comprehensible manner, they enable citizens, civil society organizations, media, and oversight bodies to scrutinize government performance. Several case studies, such as those from Brazil, South Korea, and the Philippines, demonstrate that publicizing audit outcomes can trigger policy change, administrative sanctions, or even criminal investigations. These instances underline the potential of audits not only as internal control tools but also as catalysts for democratic accountability.

The mere availability of audit reports does not guarantee their impact. The responsiveness of political institutions, particularly legislatures, plays a decisive role. In countries where parliaments take audit findings seriously by holding public hearings, demanding corrective action, and imposing sanctions the audit process leads to tangible accountability outcomes. Conversely, in contexts where legislative bodies lack the capacity, will, or autonomy to act on audit recommendations, the reports remain symbolic, leading to audit fatigue and declining public confidence (Antipova, 2023). This institutional bottleneck illustrates that audits function most effectively when embedded within a broader accountability ecosystem involving robust parliamentary oversight and enforceable consequences.

Neraca Manajemen, Ekonomi
Vol 15 No 9 Tahun 2025
Prefix DOI : 10.8734/mnmae.v1i2.359

Equally critical is the role of non-state actors in amplifying the visibility and impact of audits. Civil society organizations (CSOs), investigative journalists, and academic institutions often serve as intermediaries that translate technical audit findings into actionable public narratives (Fox, 2016). Their engagement ensures that audit reports do not merely circulate within bureaucratic circles but become part of public discourse and civic action. In Brazil's randomized audit program, the coupling of audit transparency with citizen mobilization led to a demonstrable reduction in corruption and improvements in public service delivery. Similar patterns have been observed in contexts where audit results were used by watchdog organizations to monitor procurement, track public expenditure, or push for legal reform.

The enabling conditions for such civic engagement are not universally present. Political openness, freedom of information, digital access, and trust in institutions all affect whether audit findings translate into public pressure or institutional change (Harrison & Sayogo, 2014). In authoritarian or hybrid regimes, audit disclosures may be censored, ignored, or manipulated to serve elite interests. Moreover, the risk of selective enforcement where audit findings are used to target political opponents rather than uphold neutral accountability remains a concern. This misuse undermines the legitimacy of the audit function and erodes public trust.

In addition to political constraints, technical and operational limitations significantly affect the capacity of audit institutions to fulfill their mandates. In many jurisdictions, audits are narrowly focused on financial compliance rather than performance or outcomes. While compliance audits are essential for verifying the legality of expenditures, they often fail to capture inefficiencies, ineffectiveness, or systemic problems in public programs. Performance audits, which assess whether government initiatives achieve their intended results, require more specialized methodologies, intersectoral collaboration, and data access all of which are frequently lacking in under-resourced audit bodies (Phillips, 2018).

Technological change adds further complexity. As governments adopt more sophisticated financial management systems, digital procurement platforms, and e-budgeting tools, auditors must keep pace by acquiring new competencies in data analytics, forensic accounting, and real-time monitoring. Without such adaptations, audit processes risk becoming outdated and irrelevant. Capacity-building efforts, both domestic and international, are thus essential to modernize audit functions and ensure they remain responsive to contemporary governance challenges.

Another challenge lies in institutional fragmentation. Audit institutions often operate in isolation from other oversight and integrity agencies, such as anti-corruption commissions, procurement monitors, ombudsman offices, and judicial actors (Meagher, 2004). This lack of coordination leads to inefficiencies, duplicated efforts, and gaps in enforcement. For audits to translate into meaningful accountability, there must be systematic follow-up, legal linkages, and inter-agency collaboration. In Indonesia, for instance, despite the presence of multiple accountability bodies, the absence of a unified audit and enforcement framework has weakened the overall coherence of public financial oversight.

While the literature is replete with normative frameworks advocating for stronger audit systems, it also reflects a growing recognition of the political economy dynamics that shape audit effectiveness. Power asymmetries, vested interests, bureaucratic resistance, and elite capture all play a role in determining how audit findings are produced, interpreted, and acted upon. As such, technical solutions alone such as improved audit methodologies or institutional reforms are insufficient unless accompanied by broader governance reforms that address underlying political incentives.

What emerges from this synthesis is a clear picture: state financial audits have the potential to be transformative instruments of public sector accountability, but this potential is not automatic. It depends on a convergence of factors, including the legal and institutional framework, the quality and scope of audit work, the integrity and professionalism of auditors, the openness of political institutions, and the vibrancy of civic actors (Preston et al., 2002). The most impactful audits are those that go beyond technical compliance and trigger systemic improvements in transparency, efficiency, and trust in public institutions.

Moving forward, several strategic implications can be drawn. First, strengthening audit independence should be complemented by efforts to build internal professionalism, ensure ethical conduct, and invest in continuous capacity development. Second, enhancing the accessibility and usability of audit reports can bridge the gap between technical findings and public accountability, especially when linked to civic education and participatory oversight mechanisms. Third, embedding audit findings within broader governance and budgeting processes ensures that they contribute to evidence-based policymaking rather than remain isolated post-hoc evaluations. Lastly, reforming accountability systems to promote follow-up and enforcement through parliamentary action, judicial review, or administrative penalties is necessary to close the feedback loop between audit discovery and remedial action.

4. Conclusions

State financial audits play a critical role in strengthening transparency and accountability in public financial management. They serve not only as mechanisms to detect fraud, waste, and inefficiencies but also as strategic tools for improving governance, ensuring the optimal use of public resources, and reinforcing public trust in institutions. The evidence from various countries shows that effective audits can lead to tangible improvements in financial discipline, policy reform, and institutional responsiveness. The extent to which audits can drive change is influenced by several key factors, including the independence of audit institutions, the timeliness and accessibility of audit reports, the quality of follow-up actions by oversight bodies, and the engagement of civil society. Without proper enforcement and political will, audit findings may remain unaddressed, reducing their impact.

To maximize the role of audits, governments need to ensure the legal and operational autonomy of supreme audit institutions, invest in capacity building, and leverage technology for greater efficiency and transparency. Public participation, media engagement, and cross-institutional collaboration also enhance the relevance and utility of audits. Ultimately, integrating audits into broader governance frameworks contributes not only to better financial management but also to democratic accountability and sustainable development.

Neraca Manajemen, Ekonomi
Vol 15 No 9 Tahun 2025
Prefix DOI : 10.8734/mnmae.v1i2.359

REFERENCES

Antipova, T. (2023). Auditing for financial reporting. In *Global Encyclopedia of Public Administration, Public Policy, and Governance* (pp. 656-664). Cham: Springer International Publishing.

Balliu, D. (2025). The new role of supreme audit institutions in monitoring the SDGs. A comparative study between the western balkans and nordic countries.

Fox, J. (2016). Scaling accountability through vertically integrated civil society policy monitoring and advocacy.

Harrison, T. M., & Sayogo, D. S. (2014). Transparency, participation, and accountability practices in open government: A comparative study. *Government information quarterly*, *31*(4), 513-525.Norris, P. (2017). Electoral transparency, accountability and integrity. *Election watchdogs: Transparency, accountability and integrity*.

Meagher, P. (2004). *Anti-corruption agencies: A review of experience*. College Park, MD: IRIS Center.

Phillips, L. (2018). Improving the performance of sub-national governments through benchmarking and performance reporting.

Preston, N., Sampford, C. J., & Connors, C. (2002). *Encouraging ethics and challenging corruption: Reforming governance in public institutions*. Federation Press.

Safdar, R., Chaudhry, N. I., Mirza, S. S., & Yu, Y. (2019). Principal-principal agency conflict and information quality in China: The governance role of audit quality and analyst following. *Journal of Financial Reporting and Accounting*, *17*(1), 42-59.

Stefanescua, C. A., Oprisora, T., Sntejudeanua, M. A., Oprisor, T., & Sntejudeanu, M. A. (2016). An original assessment tool for transparency in the public sector based on the integrated reporting approach. *Accounting and Management Information Systems*, *15*(3), 542.

Syukri, H. (2022). *A Discourse Analysis Concerning the Performativity of Performance Audit in Indonesia* (Doctoral dissertation, University of Canberra).